

Representations by the workers of Hindustan Aircraft, Ltd., and Bharath Electronics to refer their disputes to a Tribunal.

Q.—287. Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN (Kolar Gold Fields).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether the workers of Bharath Electronics and Hindustan Aircraft, Ltd., Bangalore, have been representing for several years to refer their disputes with their management to an Industrial Tribunal under the Industrial Disputes Act;

(b) the reason why they have not so far referred the same to a tribunal;

(c) when did the conciliation proceedings fail;

(d) whether they propose to intervene in the matter and bring in settlement of the issues involved?

A.—Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA (Minister for Labour).

(a) Yes.

(b) The matter is under consideration.

(c) Bharath Electronics—September 1956. Hindustan Aircraft—August 1955.

(d) The disputes are proposed to be referred to the Industrial Tribunal for adjudication.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Will the Hon'ble Minister explain why there has been so much delay in referring the disputes to the tribunal?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—Investigation of the issues involved entailed delay.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—May I know whether the matter was referred to the Central Government?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—So far as reference of this matter to the Central Government is concerned, it is still under consideration.

Sri N. RACHIAH.—As this is a Central Act, is it not obligatory on the part of Government to implement it in the best interests of the workers?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—Government is fully aware of its responsibilities.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—There is no straight answer to my question as to whether the matter was referred to the Central Government.

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—The answer is very straight, and it is that the matter is under consideration.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—My question was whether the matter has been referred to the Government of India.

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—The reply is that the matter is under the consideration of the Mysore Government.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It means whether the question that the matter should be referred to the Government of India is under the consideration of the Mysore Government.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—It is stated in the reply that the disputes are proposed to be referred to the Industrial Tribunal for adjudication. May I know whether Government have already taken a decision on this matter?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—If the Government had taken the decision, it would not have been said 'proposed'.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Do you propose to refer all the issues under dispute to the tribunal?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—No.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Why?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—As I have already said, the matter is a complicated one and involves the interests of the Central Government. Therefore, it has to be duly examined.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Is there any constitutional provision which requires that the matter should be referred to the Central Government.

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—Notice.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Is not "Labour" on the concurrent list?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—It is.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Does it not give the Government of Mysore the full power to consider the particular issues arising out of such matter?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—The Government will protect all the rights of this Government and see that none are sacrificed.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Is the delay in referring the disputes due to

the fact that the rules under the Industrial Disputes Act have not yet been framed?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—That may be one reason.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—When will the rules be framed?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—Notice.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—The Government was pleased to say that the question of referring the matter to the Central Government is under consideration. Is that the reason for the delay in referring these disputes to the tribunal?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—I have already answered that that is one of the reasons.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—What are the other reasons?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—There are several reasons. If the Hon'ble Member has any particular idea in mind I can answer it.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—One of the reasons for the delay is that the matter has to be referred to the Government of India. What are the other reasons?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—Complexity of the question and the need for study of the problem are some of the other reasons.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—So far as the Hindustan Aircraft is concerned, the conciliation proceedings failed in August 1955. It is now nearly two years since then. Is this time not enough for the Government to make up their mind?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—Government have not been idle during that period. They have been actively considering the matter and they will come to an early conclusion.

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—Has Government received any communication from the Central Government that the matter is under their active consideration?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—Notice.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Has not the Government fully studied the whole question?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—The Government have fully studied the question and there are some points on which conclusions have to be arrived at.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—What are the other points which have yet to be studied by the Government?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—The implications have to be considered and the points that have to be precisely referred are being finalised.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—When will the Hon'ble Minister be able to say definitely as to when it will be possible to refer these disputes to the tribunal?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—The moment the conclusions are arrived at; I will not delay this question a minute longer.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question hour is over.

QUESTIONS FOR ANSWERS ON THE DAY.

(but not taken up)

Clerks serving for more than three years in Saklespur, Alur and Belur taluks.

Q.—2. Sri B. N. BORANNA GOWDA (Belur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the number of First Division and Second Division clerks that are serving for more than three years in Saklespur, Alur and Belur Taluks;

(b) the special reasons for the retention of these clerks in the same place?

A.—Sri M. P. PATIL (Minister for Revenue).—

(a)	Taluk	I Dn.	II Dn.
	Alur	...	4
	Belur	1	5
	Saklespur	1	4

(b) There are no special reasons for their retention.